



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/117,246	12/03/1998	DOLORES LUDEVID	50062/004001	3466	
21559	7590 04/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP			KALLIS, F	KALLIS, RUSSELL	
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 111	92110		1638		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/117,246	LUDEVID ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Russell Kallis	1638		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE - External after after after after after after after after after Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period ourse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2004.			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,23 and 42-82</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51,56,65-68 and 76</u> is Claim(s) <u>23 and 64</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,42,43,47-50,52-55,57-63,69-75 and Claim(s) <u>44-46</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</u>	s/are withdrawn from consideration of the state of the st	on.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 1998</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to t			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau		_		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.		
Attachmen	ut(s)				
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
3) 🔀 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/99.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 1/26/2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 24-27 are cancelled. Claims 1-3, 23, 42-50, 52-55, 57-64, 69-75 and 77-82 are examined. Claims 51, 56, 65-68 and 76 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 47-50, 52-<u>63</u>, 69-75 and 77-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant broadly claims an oligonucleotide comprising a concantenation coding for (P-K)_n wherein 'n' is 2 or more, or a concantenation coding for (P-K) or K-(P-K)₄ or 2K(P-K)₄ either with or without intervening amino acids other than P or K; any plant reserve protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize reserve protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize gamma zein protein comprising modifications thereof; and any maize gamma zein preserve protein comprising modifications thereof; and any maize gamma zein preserve protein comprising modifications thereof wherein the oligonucleotide is inserted in place of or following a Pro-X domain naturally present in the gamma zein protein.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant describes polynucleotides encoding 28kDa gamma zein from maize comprising high lysine modifications as P20γZ (SEQ ID NO: 11) having K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 3) inserted after the Pto-X domain, H30γZ (SEQ ID NO: 7) having K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 3) replacing the Pro-X domain, H45γZ (SEQ ID NO: 9) having K-(P-K)₄-E-F-K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 4) replacing the Pro-X domain and pN13γZ having SEQ ID NO: 5 inserted 5 amino acid residues upstream of the carboxy terminus of the peptide (see Figure 3).

Applicant does not describe any other concantenations of P-K in any other plant storage proteins.

The Federal Circuit has recently clarified the application of the written description requirement to inventions in the field of biotechnology. The court stated that, "A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus or of a recitation of structural features common to members of the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus." *See University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.*, 119 F.3d 1559; 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Applicants fail to describe a representative number of polynucleotide sequences encoding a plant reserve protein comprising a P-K concantenation falling within the scope of the claimed genus of polynucleotides encoding plant reserve proteins comprising any number of P-K concantenations.

Applicants only describe SEQ ID NO: 6, 8 and 10 encoding SEQ ID NO: 7, 9 and 11. Furthermore, Applicants fail to describe structural features common to members of the claimed genus of polynucleotides encoding plant reserve proteins having P-K concantenations. Hence, Applicants fail to meet either prong of the two-prong test set forth by *Eli Lilly*. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 1638

given the lack of description of the necessary placement of the P-K concantenations, it remains unclear what features identify polynucleotide encoding a reserve protein having P-K concantenations. Since the genus of polynucleotides encoding plant reserve proteins modified to have any number of P-K concantenations has not been described by specific structural features or modification sites, the specification fails to provide an adequate written description to support the breath of the claims.

Sequences encoding plant reserve proteins having P-K concantenations encompasses naturally occurring allelic variants, mutants, as well as sequences encoding proteins having no known function of which Applicant is not in possession. Accordingly, the specification fails to provide an adequate written description to support the genus of polynucleotides encompassed by the language as set forth in the claims. (See Written Description guidelines published in Federal Register/Vol. 66, No.4/Friday, January 5, 2001/Notices: p.1099-1111).

Claims 47-50, 52-63, 69-75 and 77-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for Maize transformed with SEQ ID NO: 6, 8, or 10, does not reasonably provide enablement for any plant transformed and stably expressing any plant reserve protein. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claimed invention is not supported by an enabling disclosure taking into account the Wands factors. In re Wands, 858/F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). In re Wands lists a number of factors for determining whether or not undue experimentation would be required by one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention. These factors are: the quantity of

Art Unit: 1638

experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples of the invention, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and the breadth of the claim.

Applicant broadly claims an oligonucleotide comprising a concantenation coding for (P-K)_n wherein 'n' is 2 or more, or a concantenation coding for (P-K) or K-(P-K)₄ or 2K(P-K)₄ either with or without intervening amino acids other than P or K; any plant reserve protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize reserve protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize zein protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize gamma zein protein comprising modifications thereof; any maize gamma zein preserve protein comprising modifications thereof wherein the oligonucleotide is inserted in place of or following a Pro-X domain naturally present in the gamma zein protein; and methods of increasing lysine in plants transformed therewith.

Applicant teaches increased lysine content in maize endosperm transformed with polynucleotides encoding 28kDa gamma zein from maize comprising high lysine modifications as P20yZ (SEQ ID NO: 11) having K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 3) inserted after the Pto-X domain, H30γZ (SEQ ID NO: 7) having K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 3) replacing the Pro-X domain, and H45γZ (SEQ ID NO: 9) having K-(P-K)₄-E-F-K-(P-K)₄ (SEQ ID NO: 4) replacing the Pro-X domain (see pages 27-28 of the specification and Figure 3).

Applicant does not teach any other plants transformed with any other polynucleotides encoding a plant reserve protein having P-K concantenations for increased lysine.

Art Unit: 1638

Transformation of plants with non-endogenous reserve proteins either unmodified or modified to have increased lysine introduces an element of unpredictability. The limitation is introduced in finding a host plant that would tolerate the non-native protein and in finding regions that would adequately enable stable expression in a host plant. Thus the screen for adequate non-lethal hosts and stable modified sequences would involve testing many genes and many plants. The inherent unpredictability in stable expression of either an unmodified or a modified reserve protein sequence is illustrated in an example where introduction of either form of a maize alpha zein reserve protein resulted in premature degradation in the seeds of transformed tobacco (Ohanti T. *et al.* Plant Molecular Biology, 1991, Vol. 16; pages 117-128; see Abstract) and in the example where insertion of pN13γZ having SEQ ID NO: 5 inserted 5 amino acid residues upstream of the carboxy terminus of the peptide resulted in no accumulation of gamma zein protein in transformed maize (Torrent M *et al.* 1997, Plant Molecular Biology, Vol. 34, pages 139-149; see Abstract and pages 27-28 of the specification).

Based upon Applicant's limited guidance one cannot predict which embodiments would be operable and thus undue trial and error experimentation would be required by one of skill in the art to isolate and test the multitude of non-exemplified plant reserve protein sequences for addition of P-K concantenations to any number of domains for stable expression in a plant, and thus one of skill in the art would be required to screen a myriad of non-exemplified transformed host plants of any species transformed with a myriad of non-exemplified modified plant reserve proteins comprising any number of P-K concantenations in any number of protein domains for non-exemplified expression in seeds in a stable and functional encompassed by the claims.

Art Unit: 1638

Given the unpredictability in the art for making DNA sequences encoding modified plant reserve proteins comprising any number of P-K concantenations that would encode stably express in any transformed plant; the breadth of the claims encompassing any plant transformed with any polynucleotide encoding any reserve protein modified to comprise a myriad of P-K concantenations; the lack of guidance in the examples of the specification or in the prior art as to which nucleotide sequences, or domains thereof, would express a stable protein in any host plant or which plants would express the modified gamma zein proteins of the invention; and the undue trial and error experimentation required to practice the claimed invention, the invention is not enabled for the scope set forth in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coupe S. et al. Plant Molecular Biology, 1993, Vol. 23, No. 6, pages 1223-1232 as attached GenBank Accession S42552.

Coupe teaches a proline rich mRNA that accumulates in pod development of oilseed rape encoding a protein sequence [P-K-P-K]-D-P-S-H-K-[P-K]-P-N-[P-K-P-K]-P and thus the reference teaches all the limitations of Claims 1, 3, 42 and 44.

Art Unit: 1638

Claims 1-2 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forney et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1988, Vol. 8, No. 1; pages 251-258 as attached GenBank Accession M19784.

Fourney teaches a telomere addition in wild type and mutant paramecia that encodes a [P-K]_n wherein n = 10 i.e. [gggttt]₁₀ Thus, the reference teaches all the limitations of Claims 1-2 and 42-43.

Claims 23 and 64 are allowed.

Claims 44-46, otherwise allowable are objected to for being dependent upon a rejected claim.

Claims 1-3, 42-43, 47-50, 52-55, 57-63, 69-75 and 77-84 are rejected.

Claims 23, 45-50, 52-55, 57-64, 69-75 and 77-84 are deemed free of the prior art given the failure of the prior art to teach or reasonably suggest plants transformed with polynucleotides encoding modified reserve proteins comprising concantenations of P-K and expressing said proteins in a stable and functional manner.

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kallis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0798. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russell Kallis Ph.D. April 14, 2004

> AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Any Ner